

Sunday, December 12, 2010
3:23 PM


Page 1 of 5

John (Jack) R. Venrick

From: "John (Jack) R. Venrick" <jacksranch@skynetbb.com>
To: "AJack R. Venrick" <jacksranch@skynetbb.com>
Sent: Friday, May 28, 2010 12:49 PM
Attach: How Runaway Environmentalism is Wrecking America.eml
Subject: 2007-6-27 U.S. Branded As Living Too Good

----- Original Message -----

From: Jack Venrick
To: jacksranch@skynetbb.com
Sent: Wednesday, June 27, 2007 10:48 PM
Subject: U.S. Branded As Living Too Good



Credit to Supreme Court Justice Sanders
For This Image Above

To: The Awakening American Freedom Movement

Here is an interesting excerpt from "The Creature From Jekyll Island by Edward Griffin, a 608 page fully referenced documentary of the takings of freedom in America. This book took Griffin 7 years of research and write. Its First edition was 1994 and is now in its 18th printing as of 2006. This is a must read reference book to see how your freedoms are stolen.

You will not be surprised that it aligns with the email you have seen attached above.

Jack Venrick
Connecting The Dots
That Took Our Freedoms
Long Ago
Enumclaw, WA

Order here: <http://www.realityzone.com/banking.html>

12/12/2010

U.S. BRANDED AS ECOLOGICAL AGGRESSOR

The use of compulsion is an important point in these plans. People in the industrialized nations are not expected to cooperate in their own demise. They will have to be forced. They will not like it when their food is taken for global distribution. They will not approve when they are taxed by a world authority to finance foreign political projects. They will not voluntarily give up their cars or resettle into smaller houses or communal barracks to satisfy the resource-allocation quotas of a UN agency. Club-of-Rome member Maurice Strong states the problem:

In effect, the United States is committing environmental aggression against the rest of the world.... At the military level, the United States is the custodian. At the environmental level, the United States is clearly the greatest risk.... One of the worst problems in the United States is energy prices—they're too low....

It is clear that current lifestyles and consumption patterns of the affluent middle class ... involving high meat intake, consumption of large amounts of frozen and 'convenience' foods, ownership of motor-vehicles, numerous electric household appliances, home and work-place air-conditioning ... expansive suburban housing ... are not sustainable.¹

Mr. Strong's remarks were enthusiastically received by world environmental leaders, but they prompted this angry editorial response in the *Arizona Republic*:

Translated from eco-speak, this means two things: (1) a reduction in the standard of living in Western nations through massive new taxes and regulations, and (2) a wholesale transfer of wealth from industrialized to under-developed countries. The dubious premise here is that if the U.S. economy could be reduced to, say, the size of Malaysia's, the world would be a better place.... Most Americans probably would balk at the idea of the U.N. banning automobiles in the U.S.²

Who is this Maurice Strong who sees the United States as the environmental aggressor against the world? Does he live in poverty? Does he come from a backward country that is resentful of American prosperity? Does he himself live in modest circum-

1. "Ecology Remedy Costly," (AP), *Sacramento Bee*, March 12, 1992, p. A8. Also Maurice Strong, Introduction to Jim MacNeil, Pieter Winsemius, and Taizo Yakushiji, *Beyond Interdependence* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1991), p. ix.
2. "Road to Ruin," *Arizona Republic*, March 26, 1992.

stances, avoiding consumption in order to preserve our natural resources? None of the above. He is one of the wealthiest men in the world. He lives and travels in great comfort. He is a lavish entertainer. In addition to having great personal wealth derived from the oil industry in Canada—which he helped nationalize—Maurice Strong was the Secretary-General of the 1992 Earth Summit in Rio; head of the 1972 UN Conference on Human Environment in Stockholm; the first Secretary-General of the UN Environment Program; president of the World Federation of United Nations; co-chairman of the World Economic Forum; member of the Club of Rome; trustee of the Aspen Institute; and a director of the World Future Society. That is probably more than you wanted to know about this man, but it is necessary in order to appreciate the importance of what follows.

A PLOT FOR ECONOMIC CRISIS

Maurice Strong believes—or *says* that he believes—the world's ecosystems can be preserved only if the affluent nations of the world can be disciplined into lowering their standard of living. Production and consumption must be curtailed. To bring that about, those nations must submit to rationing, taxation, and political domination by world government. They will probably not do that voluntarily, he says, so they will have to be forced. To accomplish that, it will be necessary to engineer a global monetary crisis which will destroy their economic systems. Then they will have no choice but to accept assistance and control from the UN.

This strategy was revealed in the May, 1990, issue of *West* magazine, published in Canada. In an article entitled "The Wizard of Baca Grande," journalist Daniel Wood described his week-long experience at Strong's private ranch in southern Colorado. This ranch has been visited by such CFR notables as David Rockefeller, Secretary-of-State Henry Kissinger, founder of the World Bank Robert McNamara, and the presidents of such organizations as IBM, Pan Am, and Harvard.

During Wood's stay at the ranch, the tycoon talked freely about environmentalism and politics. To express his own world view, he said he was planning to write a novel about a group of world leaders who decided to save the planet. As the plot unfolded, it became obvious that it was based on real people and real events. Wood continues the story:

Each year, he e
plot, the World Ec
Over a thousand
leading academics
economic agendas
says: "What if a sm
that the principal r
countries? And if tl
have to sign an agr
Will they do it?...
won't do it. They
group decides: Isn't
civilizations collaps
"This group of
to bring about an
Davos. These are
positioned themsel
They've engineer
computers and gol
stock markets from
who hold the rest
markets *can't close*.
motion with his fir
window.

I sit there spel
Maurice Strong. I
co-chairman of the
the fulcrum of pow
"I probably she

Maurice Strong's
seriously, at least in
unlikely they will un
impossible. For one
leaders of the indus
the ones engineering
do not have the mea
come from the mon
Furthermore, the ma
ment have always re
come from the ran

1. "The Wizard of Baca Grande," *West* magazine, May 1990, p. 35.

branches of the International Roundtable in England, France, Belgium, Canada, Japan, and elsewhere. They are the ideological descendants of Cecil Rhodes and they are fulfilling his dream.

It is not important whether or not Maurice Strong's plot for global economic collapse is to be taken literally. What is important is that men like him are *thinking* along those lines. As Wood pointed out, they are in a position to *do it*. Or something *like it*. If it is not this scenario, they will consider another one with similar consequences. If history has proven anything, it is that men with financial and political power are quite capable of heinous plots against their fellow men. They have launched wars, caused depressions, and created famines to suit their personal agendas. We have little reason to believe that the world leaders of today are more saintly than their predecessors.

Furthermore, we must not be fooled by pretended concern for Mother Earth. The call-to-arms for saving the planet is a gigantic ruse. There is just enough truth to environmental pollution to make the show "credible," as *The Report from Iron Mountain* phrased it, but the end-of-earth scenarios which drive the movement forward are bogus. The real objective in all of this is world government, the ultimate doomsday mechanism from which there can be no escape. Destruction of the economic strength of the industrialized nations is merely a necessary prerequisite for ensnaring them into the global web. The thrust of the current ecology movement is directed totally to that end.

SUMMARY

The United States government is mired in a 5.8-trillion-dollar debt. By 2001, interest payments on that debt were running \$360 billion per year. That consumes about 19% of all federal revenue and costs the average family over \$5,000 each year. Nothing is purchased by it. It merely pays interest. It represents the government's largest single expense. Interest on the national debt is already consuming more than 36% of all the revenue collected from personal income taxes. If the long-term trend continues, there is nothing to prevent it from eventually consuming all of it.

By 1992, there were more people working for government than for manufacturing companies in the private sector. There are more citizens receiving government checks than there are paying income taxes. When it is possible for people to vote on issues involving the

transfer of wealth to themselves from becomes a weapon whereby the majority That is the point of no return. It is a dooms

By 1992, more than half of all federal called entitlements. Here is another doom: ments are expenses—such as Social Security are based on promises of *future* payment 32% of federal outlays. When this is added being spent for interest payments on the r the startling conclusion that two-thirds of now entirely automatic, and that perc month.

The biggest doomsday mechanism of System. Every cent of our money supply purpose of being loaned to someone. The when the loans are paid back. If we tried debt, our money supply would be under Reserve System, therefore, Congress would the national debt even if it wanted to.

Political environmentalism has caused timber and agricultural land to be taken industry has been chased from our shores High taxes, rules beyond reason for safe place, so-called fair-employment practice insurance are rapidly destroying what is The result is unemployment and dislocated workers. Government moves in to fix bureaucracy grows by the hour.

Federal taxes now take more than 40% State, county, and local taxes are on top of what is left. We spend half of each year on it. Real wages in America have declined single income have a lower standard of living. The net worth of the average household of leisure time is shrinking. The percentage of their homes is dropping. The age at which home is rising. The number of families in the middle class is falling. The number of people living below the defined poverty level is rising. Over 90% of people are over age 65.

None of this is accidental. It is the fulfillment of a plan by members of the CFR who comprise the hidden government of the United States. Their goal is the deliberate weakening of the industrialized nations as a prerequisite to bringing them into a world government built upon the principles of socialism, with themselves in control.

The origin of many of the stratagems in this plan can be traced to a government-sponsored think-tank study released in 1966 called the *Report from Iron Mountain*. The purpose of the study was to analyze methods by which a government can perpetuate itself in power—ways to control its citizens and prevent them from rebelling. The conclusion of the report was that, in the past, war has been the only reliable means to achieve that goal. Under world government, however, war technically would be impossible. So the main purpose of the study was to explore other methods for controlling populations and keeping them loyal to their leaders. It was concluded that a suitable substitute for war would require a new enemy which posed a frightful threat to survival. Neither the threat nor the enemy had to be real. They merely had to be believable.

Several surrogates for war were considered, but the only one holding real promise was the environmental-pollution model. This was viewed as the most likely to succeed because (1) it could be related to observable conditions such as smog and water pollution—in other words, it would be based partly on fact and, therefore, believable—and (2) predictions could be made showing end-of-earth scenarios just as horrible as atomic warfare. Accuracy in these predictions would not be important. Their purpose would be to frighten, not to inform.

While the *followers* of the current environmental movement are preoccupied with visions of planetary doom, the *leaders* have an entirely different agenda. It is world government.